## Split of Market Practices to be reformatted into the new business template among the different NMPGs

1. Market practices – Reshuffled

| Document | Priority | Owner | Due Date | Status |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Function of the message (S&R) Final 5\_1 | NA | SWIFT | December 2012 | To be re-discussed as KT believes that not required for ISO 20022*Reshuffle is also to put into the more business oriented template, so still need to re-shuffled.****Frankfurt - Still on hold*** |
| MT535 Final 5\_1 | 1 | SWIFT / US | October 2011 | Published Dec 2011Quantity breakdown is used in various scenarios. Axelle will check whether the MT535 has been updated to reflect Qty breakdown (including lot number)***Frankfurt - Axelle – To be checked by next SMPG meeting*** |
| MT548-537 Final 5\_6 (StatusAdviceTransactionPending) | 1 | SWIFT / US | Dec 2012 | MT 548 still being worked on (End of 2012)***Frankfurt -Should be done by June (Jason)*** |
| REPO Complete MP Final 5\_5 | 1 | US / SWIFT | ~~October 2011~~December 2012 | Done – published (not the reshuffled one) Will be reviewed when the sub group will be set up)FR and US still working on this (end of Dec12)***FFT - Issue was related to terminology between FR and US. How do we consolidate into one document?******Jason/Armin will prepare a document that will be reviewed during next SMPG meeting.*** |
| Block Trades final 5\_3 | 1 | UK | December 2012 | Initial draft will be circulated by August 2012***Frankfurt – Simon ready for SMPG review (week of April 29th) – will be circulated and deadline for comments May31th.*** |
| Auto registration reporting Final 4.1 | 3 | GR | ~~September 2011~~December 2012 | Should be published before end of Q4 2011Email to Angela (13 Dec 2011)– No time before Jan 2012New deadline agreed with AngelaEmail on Jan 2 2013***Frankfurt – Denis will take over this MP. Draat for next SMPG meeting*** |
| Sell-Buy\_Buy-Sell Back Final 5\_0 | 2 | SWIFT | End of 2011December 2012 | On hold (Jason will come back to group on this)***Ready for approval******Frankfurt – SMPG approve the document- will be published on smpg website (done)*** |
| S&R Draft Portfolio Transfer Cust to Cust Communication v3.4 | 3 | NL | JUNE 2011December 2012 | Ton will check whether someone else could work on thisEmail to Ton (13 Dec 2011)Ton will request someone’s else to do this update (UK input should be requested / Neil and Kevin)***Frankfurt – Initial request from NL and the group is requesting that NL continue working on the document (with inclusion of the ISO20022)*** |
| PARTIAL SETTLEMENT  | 3 | SWIFT | October 2011December 2012 | To be checked with KT On hold ***Frankfurt* – document will be tentatively done by next SMPG meeting** |
| Tri-Party Repo MP (not the ISITC doc) |  | SWIFT Standards |  | To be checked with the group:***Does this mean that the ISITC document should become an SMPG document?*** ***Frankfurt – the draft document (ISITC) should be removed from draft folder on smpg website******Alex H (SWIFT Standards) will convert the document into the SMPG template.*** |
| Securities Collateral MP |  | ISITC | August 2012 | Draft will be circulated for review***Frankfurt - See comments on item 7/b) – doc will be posted (Collateral Folder)*** |

## Market practices – New

| Document | Priority | Owner | Due Date | Status |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NEW CANCELLATION MARKET PRACTICE (CXL MP ) | 3 | ECB/US/SWIFT | December 2012 | On hold – EP to check with KT/AK on the purpose of that MP*Purpose of the document is the description of the various scenarios in case of cancellation of a transaction (when it is matched? Unmatched). Depending on CSDs the process can be complex and it was agreed to have a specific MP. This was also more relevant since we have now in MX world one message per function.****Frankfurt - Will be done by KT***  |
| Modification Market Practice | 3 | SWIFT | December 2012 | Draft MP was discussed in Rio and will be updated using the S&R 2012 Final doc as a base.***On hold for now******Modification (processing command) – we have hold and release MP******Frankfurt – Draft MP will be reviewed (Helle) with input from JP (Unno San)*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Open items (Not reviewed in Frankfurt)

| **Item no** | **Brief description** | **Status**  | **Owner** | **Due Date** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SR-36 | Countries with no NMPG or country specific document | See Country Missing MP v1.0.xls. The document was updated during the meeting.Indonesia is a priority for the US (as per their comments). All the European market without a NMPG should also be a priority. SWIFT Standards is also very active in the Middle East as they are implementing new systems. FR and DE have explained that they have several big issues with several Middle East markets.FR is asking that we check if Jersey and Guernsey to see if they are part of the UK IE NMPG. What about the other products that would not settle in Euroclear UK.The NO and FI representatives (Nordic country) will check to see if we can contact also Iceland and the Baltic countries. Christian (AT) explained that for Slovenia, Czech Republic and Hungary, they will use the same CCP. The next step would be to set-up a new single CSD in Czech Republic for those three countries. In the meantime, Christian will propose them to have a generic template to explain the basic requirements. For Argentina, there is a template created with the help of the GC. Also there is an initiative to try to have NMPG in the big country in South America. For Cyprus, the Greek will help to create one. For Gibraltar, the UK&IE should help to facilitate the creation of a CSD. In Vietnam, SWIFT is doing something. Saudi Arabia should be a priority (SWIFT). For Malta, we will ask Italy to see if indeed Malta is using the Italian CSD. For Bulgaria, Christian (AT) will look at it..22/04/10: Indonesia: The CSD is meeting with the different players in the market in order to create a NMPG.Vietnam: Discussions are taking place (CSD is involved) but still no NMPG set up.Indonesia: Discussions are taking place but still no NMPG set up. Swift full engage the CSD to work on CA projects where the CSD will invite market players to comment.Qatar and UEA: SWIFT is in discussion with those markets. No NMPG is set up yet and this will probably not happen in 2010.UAE: a NMPG is not created yet, not much is happening at the moment as too much change in the market at the moment to see it set it up in 2010.China: There is a well established SMPG , something should be posted in the country specifics soon..Argentina: no update.Jersey, Guernsey and Isle of Man are not represented into the current UK & IE NMPG, but their financial instruments settle through Euroclear UK & IE.Iceland is part of the Nordic market practice.The Baltic countries: they obey by global market practice. There doesn’t seem to have any market specificities.06/04/2011:The Nordic MPG will, when time allows, invite the Baltic markets to form NMPGs and join the Nordic MPG.Hungary: NMPG has posted a document. Slovenia and Czech RepublicCyprus: All documentation was sent: waiting for them to create a NMPG.Morocco: right now discussions are taking place between Swift and the securities community, a NMPG may come out of that.Tunisia: Swift presentation to the securities community will come up soon. | See document | Regular updates will be given no specific due date |
| SR-36 | Countries with no NMPG or country specific document | Gibraltar: Gibraltar will fall under the auspice of Crest (the UK/Ireland CSD). By way of background here is information that may be useful to SMPG. CREST allows settlement of 3 Gibraltar ISINS, subject to Stamp, & Registration. All 3 are online Casinos and are virtual so they're not on the island at all. The FSA however does list these securities for stamp duty and they are, technically, listed at the LSE. There are also a few Gibraltar entities who issue in the UK through their UK affiliation. Therefore these entities end up with GB ISINs. Gibraltar has an exchange - GibEx - but it doesn't appear on any list with serious activity.There is no recorded CSD, NNA, or onshore CCP. Finally there is an 'Off-shore Companies house' in Gibraltar which tends to suggest that it operates as a central Registrar, which is where the CREST would link to. So to conclude, if they have no on-shore settlements they're unlikely to have or need an NMPG.Malta: They are not with the Italian NMPG. Free of payment is done with matching. No taxes. Similar to the UK for the registration: nothing to do with Italy financial market.Bulgaria: no news.28/04/2010: China: this is still being discussed, now clear deadline was provided .For Slovenia and Bulgaria, AT will provide the group the country specificities. Same for Czech Republic. Expected date is end of June.India: Mumbai is moving to ISO15022 for CA notification. Discussions about integrating Indian stock exchanges. 06/04/2011 Taketoshi Mori met with Indian representatives; they are interested in participating to the SMPG but are not ready yet. Take will provide contact name to Karine for follow up.ABMF: Asian Bond Market Forum is made up of financial experts from the 10 countries of the ASEAN (Association of South East Nations) + Republic of China, Japan and Republic of Korea. It aims at establishing regional market standards and common practices to make cross-border bond investment and settlement both smoother and cheaper. Final report should be out end of 2011. For more details please see Taketoshi Mori presentation. 06/04/2011: -Bolivia/Perou/Colombia are undergoing a merger of stock exchanges. Impact on the Latin American MP to be investigated. Jaime Villasenor Zertuche (MX convenor) will be contacted.- Ton Van andel will be providing information on the settlement in the Antilles (Curacao and St. Maarten)08/11/2011 Feedback from Armin: Meeting next week with CZ Central depository to discuss this. (Armin will provide feedback)NL: Any news on the Middle East? 18/04/2012Indian NMPG – Meeting held on early April (SWIFT rep: Omar LR based in Sing)CZ NMPG- Interest from the CZ community / Will move to ISO15022. AB would like to have a generic pres as he would like to make an introduction on SMPG to CZ community. The current version of the SMPG pres is outdated. ASEAN countries with NMPGs: Hong Kong and Japan  | See document |  Regular updates will be given no specific due date |
| SR-39 | Replacement Modification | Replacement, Modification, Status, Counterparty, Response and Audit Trail messages presentation.The difference between a replacement and a modification lays in the fact that for the replacement, the whole content of the message should be repeated whereas for the modification only the modified/added/deleted fields must be mentioned. Whether the replacement or the modification message is used should be defined at a Market Practice level. Some participants recommended having only one message and that the community should agree on this. In ZA, there is a legislation that forces the participants to have both a replacement and a modification message. No confirmation received.In general most of the European participants believed that a replacement message was not necessary as the cancel/new process was preferred. This statement was even reinforced by the fact that T2S will not implement the replacement message.Post meeting feedback: an e-mail has been sent to the NMPG convenors and all the feedbacks were that the replacement message was not needed as most of the markets will continue to apply the cancel/new process which is the current market practice. Therefore this message will be dropped and the modification message will be kept. The status/reasons related to the replacement process in SecuritiesSettlementTransactionModificationReplacementStatusAdvice will be removed and of course the name will be changed to SecuritiesSettlementTransactionModificationStatusAdvice.During the discussions it was agreed that any data can be modified except for the one that: - does not apply (e.g. Transaction Identification) - is covered by another message (e.g. the priority indicator, hold indicator, partial settlement indicator, securities RTGS, automatic borrowing Indicator in SecuritiesSettlementConditionsModificationRequest) - would be too difficult to handle with the modification message e.g. Block Trades Note that originally the modification message the FIA was limited to the minimum. The full MC will be re-included per request of DE. Moreover this will enable us to reuse the existing message component.There were some concerns related to the fact that a modification could conflict cross validations rules when received at the account servicer and validated with the other existing data. This is acknowledged and will be stressed in the Market Practice that will be created.The MP should also include usage rules (or maybe the message should include extra validation rules) that an added information cannot be modified within the same message modification message. That if a Qualifier/Code is repetitive to make sure the appropriate information is modified it should be deleted first etc….The modification message will allow multiple changes for both matching a non matching data. Standards cannot impose some constraints from that perspective as this really varies based on market practice.The above functionalities will not be incorporated to the SecuritiesSettlementConditionsModificationRequest as the modification can apply to both the SecuritiesSettlement and the Intra-Position Movement instructions.29/10/2010: Market Practice not covered during meeting will be covered Q1 2011.08 Nov 2011: Market Practice not covered during meeting (see table below)Athens SMPG (April 2012): Draft will be produced by SWIFT (see table below) | NMPGs | Q1 2011 |