



SMPG Luxe

Global SMPG Meeting Luxembourg October 4 – 6, 2017

Your Gateway to Securities Standards !

Meeting Venue:

Clearstream premises
The Square
42 Avenue John F.
Kennedy
LU - 1855 Luxembourg
Dress Code: Business
casual

Hosted and sponsored by:



I. Meeting Global Agenda

Tuesday 3rd of October - For the IF WG Only!

Venue: Clearstream

13.00 – 17.30		Investment Funds WG Meeting	
---------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Please note that the **Investment Funds working group** will start their WG meeting a day earlier on Tuesday October 3rd at noon. For more information, please contact the IF WG facilitator janice.chapman@swift.com.

Wednesday 4th of October

9:00 – 9:30	Arrival & Check-in at “The Square” and Welcome Coffee
9:30	Plenary Session
9:30 – 11:00	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SMPG Welcome Address (Karla Mc Kenna, SMPG Chair, ISITC) – 5’ 2. Welcome Address by Host (Philippe Seyll, co-CEO Clearstream Banking Luxembourg) – 5’ 3. Global Meeting Schedule (Charles Boniver, SMPG Program Director) – 5’ 4. European Trade Repository (John Kernan, Senior Vice President Product Management, REGIS-TR) – 30’ 5. SMPG Regional Updates – (SMPG Respective Regional Directors) - 30’
11:00 – 11:30	Coffee Break

11:30 – 13:00	6. CSDs Coordination and harmonisation in the T2S framework (Mathias Papenfuss - Chairman of Executive Board and Director, Clearstream Services S.A.) – 45' 7. Standardisation in MIFID/MIFIR/SFTR Regulatory Framework (Olga Petrenko - Market Integrity Senior Officer, ESMA) – 45'		
13:00 – 14:00	Lunch		
14:00 – 15:30	<u>Workshop on Regulations (2 Workshops Running in Parallel)</u> 1. ESMA regulations reporting Guest: Olga Petrenko - Moderated by: Karla Mc Kenna 2. CSDS Coordination and Harmonisation in the T2S framework Guest: Matthias Papenfuss - Moderated by: Armin Borries)		
15:30 – 16:00	Networking Session / Coffee Break		
End of Plenary Session			
16:00 – 17:30	Corporate Action WG	Investment Funds WG	Settlement and Reconciliation WG
Evening Event			
18:00	Evening Event at Mudam (Modern Art) Museum Sponsored by: ABBL, ALFI, ALMUS, REGIS-TR		
21:00	End of Event		



Thursday 5th of October

8:30 – 9:00	Arrival & Check-in at “The Square” and Welcome Coffee		
Morning Session			
09:00 – 10:45	Corporate Action WG	Investment Funds WG	Settlement and Reconciliation WG
10:45 – 11:00	Coffee Break		

11:00 – 12:30	Corporate Action WG	Investment Funds WG	Settlement and Reconciliation WG
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch		
Afternoon Session			
13:30 – 15:30	Corporate Action WG	Investment Funds WG	Settlement and Reconciliation WG
15:30 – 15:45	Coffee Break		
15:45 – 17:30	Corporate Action WG	Investment Funds WG	Settlement and Reconciliation WG
Evening			
Free Evening			

Friday 6^h of October

Morning session

8:30 – 11:30	Corporate Action WG with coffee in room	Investment Funds WG with coffee in room	Settlement and Reconciliation WG with coffee in room
11:30 – 12:00	Lunch (Please indicate in the registration form if you stay for lunch)		
12:00	End of meeting		



II. SMPG Corporate Actions WG Detailed Agenda – October 4 - 6, 2017

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
Wednesday October 4 & Thursday October 5				
1	2018 meeting dates	Schedule Conference calls for 2018	Christine	
2	Sept. Meeting Minutes approval	Comments / Approval of September 12 conf. Call Minutes	Jacques	
CA375	SR2018 - Yearly GMP Part 1,2,3 and samples alignment and yearly summary of changes to MPs	<p>Actions: All NMPG's: to schedule meetings/Calls in time for the MPs review deadlines.</p>	Jacques	<p>Telco September 12, 2017: Jacques presented the SR2018 changes requests which have resulted in actions requested from the SMPG. Those actions are outlined in the following document (see minutes). Christine reminded everyone about the overall timeline for SR2018 GMP documents etc., and the need to schedule NMPG meetings/calls in time for the various deadlines.</p>
CA279	Claims in the T2S context	<p>As we are close to the implementation of T2S and following some CRs that were part of SR2014, there seems to be confusion on how claims should be reported to clients (MT54X vs MT56X).</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> ALL NMPG's: To provide feedback before or at the Luxembourg meeting on the white paper before it is distributed. Bernard: Provide status on the agreement with the SnR group for this white paper. Christine, Véronique and Bernard to write a letter to the relevant groups (CAJWG, CASG, AFME, AGC, ECSDA) describing our conclusions (including the high level matrix) and proposed approach (business case) to get their endorsement. Draft to be reviewed and co-signed with the Steering Committee and SnR co- 	Christine / Bernard	<p>Telco September 12, 2017: The following white paper written by Christine and Bernard has been circulated for comments in August. (see minutes) Some comments on the white paper have already been received from UK, NL, DE and CH and Jacques. Add in the long term goal that new ISO 15022 messages are needed too. More comments from CH and ISITC will be submitted before the Luxembourg meeting. The objective is to finalise the white paper at the Luxembourg meeting. Christine does not know the status of the collaboration with the SnR WG on this topic and if Bernard has agreed on a process with Axelle & Marcin.</p> <p>Telco June 27, 2017: Inputs received from DE and UK have been added to the last version of the table here below as well as some correction in the DK line: No input yet received from IT. Bernard will contact Paola. However the table must still be adapted so that when one service has been subscribed, it is possible to indicate in the table whether it is possible to "opt-in" or "opt-out" for the service. Bernard and Jacques will modify slightly the table accordingly and conduct individual calls to complete the table during the summer. Bernard mentions that the NL entry line still needs to be double with Ben as there seems to be some inconsistency with the ESES market line. Regarding the "claim detection" part, Mari reports that the UK would like to see also</p>

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
		<p>chairs before the summer.</p> <p>4. <u>Christine, Bernard</u> to engage with the SnR WG in order to issue with the CA WG common recommendations on the existing CA & settlement messages on both the detection and compensation parts. To be started after the summer.</p> <p>5. <u>SMPG CA WG</u>: to create a BJ for new ISO 20022 messages once we get the endorsement of the relevant groups (medium term).</p>		<p>a short term harmonized cost effective solution in ISO 15022 as well for the downstream flow knowing that nobody will migrate to ISO2002 any time soon on that side, eventually even misusing the 567 message for instance instead of not doing anything. That would still be better than exchanging Excel sheets today.</p> <p>Mari notes also that there is no mention in the long term strategy / decisions taken in Dublin about specific required claims functions like claims cancellation, splitting, prioritization whilst this was discussed 3 years ago when the SMPG worked on a new 15022 message business case.</p> <p>The UK would like that those requirements are taken into account when working on the ISO20022 solution.</p> <p>Mari will resend to Christine and Bernard the documents that were produced at the time for the business case.</p> <p>Christine and Bernard have a call planned next Thursday to draft the letter to the market groups.</p> <p>Bernard had already an initial discussion with Axelle Wurmser (SnR WG co-Chair) regarding the collaboration with the SnR group to produce recommendations (action 4).</p>
CA315	Extending CA MPs to ISO 20022	<p>How to extend our MPs to ISO 20022 CA MX messages ?</p> <p>Actions:</p> <p>1. <u>Jacques</u> to continue ISO 20022 UG development on MS</p> <p>2. <u>Veronique, Steve and Jacques</u> to set up a conference call to review the draft for GMP1 chapter 1, 2, 3.</p>	Véronique / Steve / Jacques	<p><u>Telco September 12, 2017:</u></p> <p>Jacques has a completed the agreed ISO 20022 changes for GMP Part 1 in Chapters 1 , 2 and 3 and has a draft for review. Véronique, Jacques and Steve will have a conference call to review this draft and advise afterwards.</p> <p><u>Telco June 27, 2017:</u></p> <p>A) Jacques has proposed to introduce the following table notations so as to simplify the combined ISO 15022 and ISO 20022 reference tables in GMP1 (see minutes). The WG agrees to go forward with that proposal.</p> <p>Jacques will start implementing the new table when applicable in GMP1 and will also try to work together with Steve on transforming each chapters of GMP1 into ISO 20022 based on the work that was done by the various subgroups beginning of the year.</p> <p>B) End of May, 14 new ISO 20022 SMPG Usage Guidelines have been uploaded on MyStandards.</p> <p>The following CA events are covered: BIDS VOLU, BONU CHOS, BONU MAND, BPUT VOLU, CHAN MAND, CONS VOLU, CONV VOLU, DECR MAND, DRIP CHOS (2) , DVCA CHOS, DVCA MAND, INTR CHOS, INTR MAND.</p>
CA354	Market usage of "QINS//QALL" on field 36a of MT565	<p>What is the timing to recognize instructed actual positions when an instruction is sent with "QINS//QALL ?</p> <p>1. end of day positions of previous day of receipt date of the instruction.</p> <p>2. end of day positions on the day of receipt date of the instruction.</p> <p>3. confirm actual positions with Global</p>	GMP1 SG	<p><u>Telco September 12, 2017:</u></p> <p>No progress, GMP1 SG action still pending.</p> <p><u>Telco June 27, 2017:</u></p> <p>No progress, GMP1 SG action still pending.</p> <p><u>Dublin - April 26 - 28, 2017:</u></p> <p>The results of the feedback from markets are as follow:</p> <p>Most of the markets do not use QALL. However QALL may be used in RU for meeting instructions, in PL to instruct on an unsolicited WTRC (tax related) event</p>

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
		<p>Custodian by phone or e-mail every time when Sub Custodian receive the instruction.</p> <p>4. Positions on Account Servicer Deadline.</p> <p>5. Positions on Market Deadline.</p> <p>Actions: GMP1 SG to propose wording for the new MP.</p>		<p>and is somewhat used by Euroclear as well.</p> <p>Decision: Do not remove QALL but add a new MP in GMP1 to state that usage of QALL is not recommended since it is ambiguous (since quantity can change after record date) and that if used, QALL should then be subject to a bilateral agreement specifying not only usage but also meaning (e.g. settled/settled plus pending balance at record date, settled/settled plus pending balance at response deadline, settled/settled plus pending balance at market deadline).</p>
CA366	Voluntary Rolling Event - Review GMP1 Section 2.2.5 (Coming from CA203)	<p>Action: Christine & Bernard to amend the MP draft proposal accordingly to the comments provided on December 13 conference call.</p>	Christine / Bernard	<p>Telco September 12, 2017: No progress yet</p> <p>Telco June 27, 2017: No progress yet. Christine and Bernard have a call on Thursday to address this.</p> <p>Telco May 25, 2017: No progress yet.</p> <p>Dublin - April 26 - 28, 2017: Not addressed at the Dublin meeting.</p> <p>Telco February 21, 2017: Review Voluntary Rolling Event GMP1 Section 2.2.5: Bernard and Christine have not yet progressed on this. This will go later into GMP1 version v1.1.</p> <p>Telco December 13, 2016: Review Voluntary Rolling Event GMP1 Section 2.2.5: LU Feedback (Received via email): “In order to be more complete we should distinguish between 3 rather than 2 scenarios: a) A real rolling event as specified in the case one of the document. We suggest to keep calling “Rolling” (as in the market). We would have ROLL and PAYD/ONGO. b) Event with periods (let’s use the word period instead of phase) and the payment occurs at the end of the period for everybody. In this case we do not consider it as ROLL and have a normal PAYD. It is quite usual as a scenario. c) Event with periods where the payment will happen a number of days after the instruction. It is similar to the case a) in the end. We propose to change the text above the second graph as follows: “It is also possible that though the event is rolling, the issuer only executes the payment at certain dates for instructions received within defined instruction periods.” It seems that the concept of ongoing events is not well accepted and creates more confusion than anything else...” ZA Feedback (Received via email post meeting): The ZA market processes multiple events where there is multiple acceptance and payment dates. A record date will be derived for each event – i.e. each event will have its own timeline. Furthermore where the terms of security provides the holder thereof with the option convert or exchange anytime during the life span of the</p>

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
				<p>security, the market will not necessarily process an event but rather facilitate the exchange/conversion through the settlements module by way of off-market trades. Kindly clarify whether for rolling events the practice would be to communicate multiple options for each acceptance and pay date. We should consider firming the draft document in clarifying the usage of ONGO for acceptance and pay dates for rolling events. The rest of the document seems fine.</p>
<p>CA367</p>	<p>INTP and OFFR usage with multiple payment Currencies</p>	<p>How to handle currency for INTP and OFFR for incomer cash payment event (INTR & REDM) when multiple payment currencies are offered. Action: Delphine and Bernard to mock up example to check feasibility.</p>	<p>Delphine</p>	<p>Telco September 12, 2017: Delphine has sent her input to Bernard this summer, but no response yet. Delphine will resend it to Bernard. Telco June 27, 2017: Status of pending actions: Action 1: Delphine has checked with her operations, and they could not find an example within the last year. Action 1 can be closed. Action 2: Delphine has created a draft and sent to her operations; after this she will send it to Bernard and then to the WG. Telco May 25, 2017: Item skipped as Delphine and Bernard could not attend this call. Dublin - April 26 - 28, 2017: Delphine tried to summarize in the table below (see minutes) several scenarios of mandatory income cash payment and the existing SMPG recommendation on which format to use for the interest rate or price. In red, the cases for which there is no clear recommendation so far are highlighted. This is happening more often with Eurobonds. Decision: For CA356, the scenario with a single payment, and denomination currency different from payment currency, use OPTN for denomination currency and show payment currency in :92A::INTP. For CA367, follow the same logic as in the INTR CHOS template. Telco March 28, 2017: Delphine tried to summarize several scenarios of mandatory income cash payment and the existing SMPG recommendation on which format to use for the interest rate or price. In red, the cases for which there is no clear recommendation so far are highlighted (see table in minutes). The issue will be addressed in Dublin together with the CA 356 open item was not yet solved properly earlier.</p>
<p>CA372</p>	<p>Reporting Issue with Reverse Market Claims</p>	<p>In CH, Most Swiss banks have legacy systems communicating with CSD for both market claims and reverse market claims. Client reporting is for market claims only. Action: Mike to provide more input for this item.</p>	<p>Mike</p>	<p>Telco September 12, 2017: Mike has not yet sent a more detailed description of the issue due to difficulties in finding the best way to present the problems. Mike will attempt to send something to Jacques before the Luxembourg meeting; if this is not possible, Mike will describe the problems at the meeting. Telco June 27, 2017: Mike presented the issue. He will send a document presenting the business need in</p>

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
				more detail. This will be discussed at the next call or in Luxembourg. Telco May 25, 2017: Most Swiss banks have legacy systems, only communicating with CSD for reverse market claims, not market claims. Client reporting is different for market claims and reverse market claims. Item skipped as Mike could not attend this call. Dublin - April 26 - 28, 2017: New Item opened.
CA374	CANADA Representation at CA WG	Action: Jacques to send information to Ariane about the SMPG and CA WG.	Jacques	Telco September 12, 2017: A representative from the Canadian CSD, Ariane Bienvenu, joined the call. Ariane will contact Jacques for information regarding the SMPG's by-laws and how to restart a Canadian NMPG. Telco June 27, 2017: Véronique not present at the call. Postponed.
CA376	Add section about CAEP in GMP1	Missing MP on this qualifier.	Randi-Marie	New open Item
CA377	WITH vs CANC function	Clarify the respective usage of both functions	Randi-Marie	New open Item
CA378	TNDP MP and TNDP Indicator DSS Usage	(Follow up of SR2018 CR1317) 1) US and AU TNDP market practice to be reviewed by SMPG when ready. 2) New MP for TNDP Indicator DSS Issuer Name/code values. DSS could be more generic and instead of stating the name of the tax department in a given country use a more general code (e.g. USTX, FRTX, DETX).	Narelle/Steve/Paul & Daniel	New open Item
CA379	Charges Related Amount Qualifiers for Rights / Warrants Events	(Follow up of SR2018 CR1311) Analysis of scenarios and of the related messages flows so as to provide guidance on how to fit the information in line with the Standards and market practices.	Steve / Matt	New open Item
CA380	Proration Accepted and Unaccepted Balances	(Follow up of SR2018 CR1312) Analysis of the scenarios and of the related messages flows so as to provide a clear global market practice in this area and see whether new balance types need to be created to cover this case.	Steve / Matt	New open Item

Item No	Short Description	Description and Pending Actions	Owner	Comment
CA381	Usage of Pagination in ISO 20022	(Follow up of SR2018 CR1361) MP on pagination in ISO20022 and specifically for Confirmation (seev.036)	Steve / Matt	New open Item
CA382	Message enhancements to provide Options Instructions Details.	(Follow up of SR2018 CR1358) Analysis of the scenarios and of the related messages flows so as to provide guidance on how to fit the information in line with the Standards and market practices	Steve / Matt	New open Item
Friday October 6				
CA221 / CA 360 / CA373 /	Tax Subgroup reporting	Tax Subgroup Related Open Items – Follow-Up	Jean-Pierre / Jyi-Chen	
3	SWIFT DP 187	SWIFT Fast Track Maintenance Discussion Paper - DP187 Board Paper	Jacques	
4	Presentation 30'	New Regulation Page on MyStandards	Karin / Charles (SWIFT)	Presentation by Charles Boniver or Karine Taquet
5	Presentation 30'	Post Trade Risk Alerting Service (PTRA)	Jonathan Erhenfeld, (SWIFT)	
6		How to become candidates for the ISO 20022 Securities SEG (CA ET)	Jacques	
7	Local NMPG Market News (CA or Settlement)	Exchange of information about local market news in CA or settlement (Tour de table).	All NMPGs represent atives	